



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/281,464	03/30/1999	FOLKERT HORST	0690811-0007	7264	
75	90 04/11/2003				
GREGORY A SEBALD			EXAMINER		
MERCHANT & GOULD PC P O BOX 2903			PHU, PHUONG M		
MINNEAPOLIS	S, MN 55402-0903		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2631	10	
			DATE MAILED: 04/11/2003	18	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



				( -)
, ' '	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	51,
	09/281,46	4	HORST ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Phuong P		2631	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	Iress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no every within the statuwill apply and with cause the apple	nt, however, may a reply be tir tory minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 A	August 2002	<u>2</u> .		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is	non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except Ex parte Qu	for formal matters, pa ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the	e merits is
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-155 is/are pending in the application		aidaration		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 23-25 is/are withdraw	vn irom con	sideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>53-83 and 106-155</u> is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-22,26-29,34-42,48-52,84 and 100-1	102 in/ore re	inated		
_		•		
7) Claim(s) 30-33,43-47,85-99,104 and 105 is/are	_			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election re	equirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		objected to by the Exa	miner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)	proved b) disappro	oved by the Examine	r.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ply to this Of	ice action.		
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have beei	n received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have beei	n received in Applicati	on No	
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur     See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority ur	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional	application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•			
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6-	<u>-8,12,1</u> 6.	· <del>_</del>	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTC	

Application/Control Number: 09/281,464 Page 2

Art Unit: 2631

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is responsive to the election filed on 8/20/02. Accordingly, claims 1-55 are pending; claims 1-22 and 27-155 are selected; and claims 23-25 are withdrawn from consideration.

#### Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election with traverse of group I: claims 1-22 and 27-155 in Paper No. 17 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the examination of all claims does not create a serious burden for the examiner beyond the burden that would involved in examining the claims of group I. This is not found persuasive because group I does not include method for assigning addresses, as claimed in group II: claims 23-25, which is classified in class 710; and therefore, it is not needed for examination of group I to search in class 710.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

### **Priority**

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-17, 19, 21, 40, 48 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kiriyama (5,729,210).

Art Unit: 2631

As per claims 1, 6, 19 and 21, see figures 3-7, and col. 3, line 1 to col. 5, line 9, Kiriyama discloses a method and associated system (figure 3) having a transmitting unit (20) and a receiving unit (30) wherein the method/system comprises:

step/means (22) having a first input for receiving an input signal (functional code) from means (21) wherein a transmitting unit (20) is operative to transmit said signal;

step/means (25) for storing a tag data element;

step/means (22) having a second input for receiving from means (25) a data element signal indicative of a first identifier (identification code), said signal transmitting unit being responsive to an input data element received from means (13) to store in the tag data element the data indicative of the first identifier; and

step/means (22, 24) for generating an output signal, said output signal being derived from the input signal and the tag data element and for outputting the output signal wherein the receiving unit receives said output signal for implementing an action.

As per claims 2, 7 and 13, Kiriyama discloses an interface (23) suitable for wireless communication (see figure 3).

As per claims 3, 8 and 15, Kiriyama discloses that said interface is an infrared interface (see col. 3, lines 13-15).

As per claims 4, 9, 10, 16 and 17, said first identifier is a personal ID code number associated with the receiving unit (30) (see figures 3 and 6).

As per claim 14, Kiriyama discloses a processing unit (10) for transmitting the input data element (see figure 3).

Art Unit: 2631

As per claim 40, see figures 3-7, and col. 3, line 1 to col. 5, line 9, Kiriyama discloses a method and associated system comprising:

storing step/means (11) for storing an identifier associated with a transmitting unit (20); interface step/means (12, 14) for transmitting to a reception means (23) said identifier via a first communication link; and

transmitting step/means (22, 24) for transmitting to a receiving unit (30) via a second communication link a signal conveying said identifier (PERSONAL ID CODE) and a command (FUNCTIONAL CODE) for a remote-controllable action (see figure 6).

As per claims 48 and 50, Kiriyama discloses that the first communication link is an IR transmission link (see figure 3, and col. 3, lines 13-15).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 5, 11, 18, 20, 22, 26-29, 34-39, 41, 42, 49, 51, 52, 48 and 100-103 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kiriyama.

As per claims 5, 11, 18, 20 and 22, Kiriyama does not disclose that said tag data element contain the first identifier and a second identifier wherein the second identifier associated with the transmitting unit. In Kiriyama, said tag data element contains only the first identifier (identification code (PERSONAL ID CODE)) which can be said to be associated with both the transmitting unit and the receiving unit, wherein the first identifier is retrieved later and sent to

Page 5

the receiving unit. Further, Kiriyama discloses that other types of identification codes can be used to assure the communication between the transmitting unit and the receiving unit (see col. 7, lines 15-19). On the other hand, in a communication between a transmitter and a receiver, using both the transmitter's identifier and the receiver's identifier and sending them the receiver to assure the communication is well-known in the art, and the examiner takes Official Notice. It would have been obvious that ones skilled in the art, when building Kiriyama, could implement said tag data element to contain both the transmitting unit's identifier and the receiving unit's identifier wherein these identifiers would be retrieved later to send to the receiving unit in order to assure the communication between the transmitting unit and the receiving unit.

As per claim 41, Kiriyama discloses that the transmitting step/means transmits the signal to the receiving unit located on a remote-controllable functioning device (30) (see figures 3 and 6). Kiriyama does not disclose the storing step/means stores an identifier of said receiving unit. However, with the similar reason set forth for claims 5, 11, 18, 20 and 22, it would have been obvious that ones skilled in the art, when building Kiriyama, could implement the storing step/means to store both the transmitting unit's identifier and the receiving unit's identifier for the identification code (PERSONAL ID CODE) wherein these identifiers (PERSONAL ID CODE) would be retrieved later to send by means (22, 24) to the receiving unit in order to assure the communication between the transmitting unit and the receiving unit.

As per claim 42, as applied to claim 41, Kiriyama discloses a message building means (22, 24) for constructing a message containing the (PERSONAL ID CODE) and a command to be transmitted (see figure 6).

Art Unit: 2631

As per claim 49, Kiriyama disclose a interface control means (22) for communicating with said message building means, and for receiving commands from means (21) (see figure 3).

As per claims 51 and 52, Kiriyama does not disclose that the remote-controllable action is accelerating or braking. However, Kiriyama's novelty is for controlling actions or operations of a remote device. It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to implement Kiriyama for remotely controlling operations of an operating means, e.g., a vehicle means, in such a way that the remote-controllable action could be accelerating or braking of said vehicle means.

As per claim 26, see figures 3-7, and col. 3, line 1 to col. 5, line 9, Kiriyama discloses: interface step/means (23) for receiving from means (13) an identifier (identification code (PERSONAL ID CODE)) associated with a receiving unit (30) via a first communication link from means (13) to a transmitting unit (20); and

signal transmitting step/means (24) for transmitting to the receiving unit a signal over a second communication link, the signal including a command (FUNCTIONAL CODE) for causing an action to be performed by a device and the identifier (PERSONAL ID CODE).

Kiriyama discloses that the second communication link is an IR link not RF link. However, it is well-known in the art that in wireless communications, base on system requirement, IF links or RF links can be used for transmission between two remote stations, for instance, Kiriyama also discloses such teaching (see col. 7, lines 23-26). It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to implement Kiriyama in his system in such a way that the second communication link could be an RF link for transmission of the signal to the receiving unit.

As per claim 27, Kiriyama discloses a storing step/means (25) for storing the identifier.

Art Unit: 2631

As per claim 28, Kiriyama does not disclose that step/means (25) stores an identifier of said transmitting means. However, with the similar reason set forth for claims 5, 11, 18, 20 and 22, it would have been obvious that ones skilled in the art, when building Kiriyama, could implement the storing step/means to store both the transmitting unit's identifier and the receiving unit's identifier for the identification code (PERSONAL ID CODE) wherein these identifiers (PERSONAL ID CODE) would be retrieved later to send by means (22, 24) to the receiving unit in order to assure the communication between the transmitting unit and the receiving unit.

Claim 29 is rejected with the same reason set forth for claim 42.

As per claim 34, Kiriyama discloses that said storing step/means outputs the identifier to the interface step/means (see figure 3).

Claims 35 and 39 is rejected with the similar reason set forth for claim 48 and 50.

Claim 36 and 37 are rejected with similar reasons set forth for claims 51 and 52.

Claim 38 is rejected with similar reason set forth for claim 49

As per claim 84, see figures 3-7, and col. 3, line 1 to col. 5, line 9, Kiriyama discloses a method and associated system comprising:

a receiving unit (30) for mounting on a device; and

a transmitter (20) for transmitting for transmitting to the receiving unit a wireless signal over a first communication link, the wireless signal including a command for causing an action to be performed by the device;

wherein said receiving unit responsive to the wireless signal to generate controls to the device to execute the command; and

Art Unit: 2631

said receiving unit receives from means (10) over a second communication link an identifier associated with the transmitter; and the wireless signal includes said identifier.

Kiriyama discloses that the first communication link is a IR link not a RF link. However, with a similar reason set forth for claim 26, it would have been obvious that one skilled in the art, when building Kiriyama for his system, could implement the first communication link as a RF link for signal transmissions.

As per claim 100, see figures 3-7, and col. 3, line 1 to col. 5, line 9, Kiriyama discloses a method and associated system comprising:

step/means (20) for providing a transmitter;

step/means (13, 23) for communicating to the transmitter an identifier (identification code (PERSONAL ID CODE)) associated with a receiving unit (30) over a first communication link; and

step/means (22, 24) for transmitting to the receiving unit a wireless signal over a second communication link, the wireless signal including a command for causing an action to be performed by a device, and the identifier.

Kiriyama discloses that the second communication link is is a IR link not a RF link. However, with a similar reason set forth for claim 26, it would have been obvious that one skilled in the art, when building Kiriyama for his system, could implement the second communication link as a RF link for signal transmissions.

As per claim 101, Kiriyama discloses step/means (25) for storing the identifier.

As per claim 102, Kiriyama does not disclose step/means (25) for storing the identifier of said transmitter. However, with similar reasons set forth for claims 5, 11, 18, 20 and 22, It

Art Unit: 2631

would have been obvious that ones skilled in the art, when building Kiriyama, could implement said step/means (25) for receiving and storing both the transmitting unit's identifier and the receiving unit's identifier included in the identification code (PERSONAL ID CODE) wherein these identifiers would be retrieved later to send to the receiving unit in order to assure the communication between the transmitting unit and the receiving unit.

Claim 103 is rejected with similar reasons set forth for claim 42.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 53-83 and 106-155 are allowed.
- 9. Claims 30-33, 43-47, 85-99, 104 and 105 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

- 10. The applicant is hereby notified that the IDS filed on 3/29/01 is not considered as a prior art.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong Phu whose telephone number is 703-308-0158. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-6:00) First Monday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 703-305-4378. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Page 9

Art Unit: 2631

Page 10

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

Phuong Phu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2631

Phenyphu Phuong Phu April 3, 2003